



Latent Print Section
Reporting Results and Interpretations
Comparative and Analytical Division



1. Reporting Results and Interpretations

1.1. Scope

- 1.1.1. This procedure details reporting examination results in the Latent Print Section of the Houston Forensic Science Center (HFSC).

1.2. Procedure

1.2.1. Case Records

- 1.2.1.1. Case records must be accurate, complete, and in accordance with all of HFSC's policies, procedures, and standards.
- 1.2.1.2. Case record documentation shall be of sufficient detail that in the absence of the original case examiner, another qualified examiner could evaluate what was done and interpret the data. A chain of custody shall be maintained for any evidence that moves through the Latent Print Section.
- 1.2.1.3. When referencing a previously released/published document (e.g. Latent Print Report, Examiner Case Records) the date the document was released/published shall be included.
- 1.2.1.4. At a minimum, the case record shall contain the following information:
- Forensic Case Number.
 - Date case records were generated.
 - Examiner/Processor writing the case records.
 - Items of Evidence.
 - Items of Evidence listed in the case records and final report shall be the same.
 - Examinations and processing techniques performed.
 - This shall include the date(s) performed and results.
 - Results of Analysis, Comparison, and Evaluation.
 - Name of the verifying examiner and date.
 - Results of any required Automated Fingerprint Identification System searches completed prior to writing the report.

1.2.2. Reports

- 1.2.2.1. All examination results shall be reported in the laboratory report.
- 1.2.2.2. All reports shall be accurate and complete.
- 1.2.2.3. Reports should stand alone without the need to refer to other documents, with the exception of previous reports issued in the same or associated cases.
- 1.2.2.3.1. Prior latent print numbers should be addressed with previous lab report # and date of release.
- 1.2.2.4. The following information should be addressed in all Latent Print Processing or Comparison Reports (if applicable).
- Statement that processing or analysis was conducted.
 - Unique identifiers for all latent prints present or developed on evidence.
 - Number of latent prints and/or images found on items of evidence.
 - Request for additional record finger and/or palm prints.



- Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS) search results and whether unidentified friction ridge impression(s) were registered in the unsolved latent file (ULF).

1.2.3. Standardized Report Phrasing

1.2.3.1. In an effort to standardize report writing in the Latent Print Section, standardized phrasing is provided below. Although the standardized report phrasing will effectively convey the results of most analysis, it is recognized that these phrases will not fit every reporting situation. Therefore, this list is not limiting.

1.2.3.2. Latent Analysis/Latent to Records/Physical Processing

- The above listed items were visually analyzed for the presence of latent prints.
- The above listed item(s) was/were previously analyzed for the presence of latent prints.
- Item(s) _____ was/were physically and chemically processed for the development of latent prints.
- A total of _____ possible suitable latent prints was/were found or developed on Item(s)_____.
- No latent prints of value for comparison purposes was/were found on Item(s) _____.
- No possible suitable latents were found and/or developed on Item(s)_____.
- Item(s) _____ was/were not processed for latent prints.
- (# of Latents) latent prints of value for comparison purposes was/were found on Item(s)_____ (Image name of each digital image of value for DVD evidence).
- Ridge detail of value for comparison was noted on Item(s) _____. Due to its location, it was determined that the ridge detail found on the lift most likely belongs to the individual processing the item. Therefore, no further examinations will be conducted unless inked record finger/ palm prints of _____ are submitted for elimination purposes.



Latent Print Section

Reporting Results and Interpretations

Comparative and Analytical Division

- The latent print(s) labeled L-_____ was/were not of sufficient quality for an Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS) search.
- The latent print(s) labeled L-__ was/were determined to be not of sufficient quality to be identifiable and is/are only of value for exclusion purposes.
- Any latent impressions not listed were analyzed and determined to be of no value for comparison purposes.
- Any latent impressions or photographic images not listed were analyzed and determined to be duplicate images and/or of no value for comparison purposes.
- Upon further analysis, the latent print(s) labeled L-___ was/were determined to be of no value for comparison purposes.
- Upon further analysis, the latent prints labeled L-___ was/were determined to be of value for comparison purposes.
- All possible suitable latents found and/or developed will be forwarded for further analysis.
- The latent prints labeled L- _____ were compared to the inked/database fingerprint/palm prints bearing the name(s) ____ .
- The latent print(s) labeled L-_____ found on Item(s) _____ was/were identified with the prints of _____.
- The latent print(s) labeled L-_____ found on Item(s) _____ was/were excluded with the prints of _____.
- The latent print(s) labeled L-_____ found on Item(s) _____ was/were inconclusive with the prints of _____ due to the quantity and quality of information in the latent print/ due to the incomplete or insufficient recording of the friction ridge detail in the database record prints.
- The latent print(s) labeled L-_____ found on Item(s) _____ was/were not compared with the prints of _____ (add reason).



- The latent print(s) labeled L- _____ found on Item(s) _____ was/were unable to be compared. (Add reason).

- Item(s) _____, containing the digital images/latent lift card(s), has/have been created and forwarded to the submitting agency.

1.2.3.3. Record to Record Comparisons

- As a result of comparisons of Item _____ with the database fingerprint/palm prints bearing the name(s) _____, it was concluded both originated from the same source.

1.2.3.4. AFIS Searches

- As a result of (a) search(es) through the Harris County Sheriff's Office, the Texas Department of Public Safety's and/or the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Automated Fingerprint Identification System(s) (AFIS), the latent prints were compared to the database record fingerprint/palm prints bearing the name(s) _____.

- The latent print(s) of value labeled L- _____ was/were searched in the Automated Fingerprint Identification System(s) of the Harris County Sheriff's Office, the Texas Department of Public Safety's and/or the Federal Bureau of Investigation, with negative results.

- The latent print(s) was/were not registered to the Harris County Sheriff's Office and Federal Bureau of Investigation's AFIS Unsolved Latent File(s) (ULF).

- The unidentified latent print(s) was/were not registered to the Harris County Sheriff's Office and Federal Bureau of Investigation's AFIS Unsolved Latent File(s) (ULF).

1.2.3.5. Preliminary AFIS Association Reporting/ AFIS Reverse PAA

- **An official identification HAS NOT been effected at this time and this report is being provided as an investigative lead only.**



Under no circumstances does the association contained in this report indicate an official identification has been effected. FULL COMPARISONS HAVE NOT BEEN conducted to any of the listed individual(s).

The latent print(s) of value labeled L-____ was/were searched through the Harris County Sheriff's Office, the Texas Department of Public Safety's and/or the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Automated Fingerprint Identification System(s) (AFIS). As a result, it has been concluded there **MAY** be a preliminary association between the latent prints submitted with the below listed person(s):

Last Name, First Name, Middle Name (Item # Latent is from if known)

Only the latent print locations with preliminary associations through AFIS are listed above. There may be latent prints that were searched and were not preliminarily associated in AFIS that are identifiable to the above individual(s).

If the submitting agency desires that an official confirmatory comparison be made by a Latent Print Examiner between the latent print(s) and the individual(s) listed above, please submit a new request to the Latent Print Section for a full confirmatory examination. Add comments to the request that it is a full confirmatory comparison. For assistance in submitting the request, you can contact HFSC at: 713-929-6760 or via email at triage@houstonforensicscience.org. Once a full, confirmatory comparison has been conducted by a Latent Print Examiner, it will result in one of the following conclusions:

Identification
Exclusion
Inconclusive

For additional clarification regarding conclusion statements, please contact the Latent Print Section or go to www.houstonforensicscience.org and navigate to the Latent Prints SOP website.

Any latent prints not preliminarily associated above, were/ were not registered to the Harris County Sheriff's Office and Federal Bureau of Investigation's AFIS Unsolved Latent File(s).

1.2.3.6. Need Records



- For a complete examination, please submit major case prints, including the fingers, extreme tips, sides and 1st, 2nd and 3rd joints of the fingers and complete palms, to the Latent Print Section of the Houston Forensic Science Center.
- For a complete examination, please submit major case prints, to include toe and foot impressions, to the Latent Print Section of the Houston Forensic Science Center.

1.2.3.7. Court Testimony Record Prints

- Please submit fully rolled inked finger and palm prints of the above listed individual(s) at least thirty (30) days in advance if courtroom testimony is required for the conclusions in this report. A comparison will be conducted between the database prints and the submitted prints to determine if both originated from the same source. A supplemental report will be generated with the results.

1.2.3.8. Disposition of Evidence

- All Items of evidence will be returned to the submitting agency upon completion of all requests.
- Digital images of the possible suitable latents in the case will be retained digitally at this laboratory.

1.2.3.9. Latent to Latent Standardized Phrasing

- The latent prints in this case are of no value for comparison purposes.
- The latent prints, labeled L-___ and L-___ originated from the same source.
- No result can be made regarding the remaining latent prints on Item _____ and Item_____.

1.3. Records

- 1.3.1. Case records and reports will be maintained within the HFSC LIMS, digital image management system, and/or case record folders.

1.4. References

SWGFAST, *Document #8 Standard for the Documentation of Analysis, Comparison, Evaluation, and Verification (ACE-V)* 9/11/12 Ver 2.0

SWGFAST, *Document #10 Standards for Examining Friction Ridge Impressions and Resulting Conclusions (Latent/Tenprint)* 3/13/13 Ver. 2.0



Latent Print Section
Reporting Results and Interpretations
Comparative and Analytical Division

SWGFAST, *Document #5 Standards for Reporting Friction Ridge Examinations (Latent/Tenprint)*
9/14/12 Ver. 2.0

Defense Forensic Science Center, *CILA LP 5.10 Reporting the Results* 05 August 2014